

# **MONOCONSCIOUS FEMINISM MAKES WOMEN LAZY AND OBNOXIOUS**

**Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis**

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com

<https://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com>

As part of my weekly routine, I travel in the Melbourne trams to the university almost every week day to attend seminars in pure math, math physics and philosophy, my three main intellectual passions.

This regular exposure to the Melbourne populace, gives me the opportunity to observe Melbourne women, and I don't like what I see. I find most Melbourne women both lazy and obnoxious, and I mostly blame feminism for this, more specifically monoconscious feminism. i.e. a biased feminism, that knows nothing about how women oppress men, giving women the very false impression that gender oppression is a one way street, so of course, these women, millions of them, under feminist influence, see men as oppressors, to be disliked, even despised, and to be resisted, with female arrogance, a feeling of female moral superiority, an attitude that men have to be nicer to women, or

women will continue to see men as shits and continue to be obnoxious to men.

From the feminist, i.e. monoconscious feminist, viewpoint, the above attitudes that millions of women have, and certainly most of the women I observe in the tram, make sense. They are reasonable attitudes, given the premises, and have persuaded millions of women, hence the level of obnoxious behavior and sourness in women's faces that I see daily.

This monoconscious feminism not only makes women obnoxious to men, but it also makes women lazy. Women reason, probably more intuitively than logically, that "Since men are such oppressing pigs, I, as a woman, don't need to try to please them. Why would I as a woman want to be nice to a sex, i.e. men, who oppress women so badly?!"

This attitude translates into a physical, bodily oriented laziness, in terms of women's weight. 40% of Melbourne women are now obese, not just fat, i.e. overweight, but obese, rotund, waddling, spheres of fat, with BMIs (body mass indices, i.e.  $\text{wt(Kgms)}/\text{ht}^2(\text{m})$ ) over 30. A healthy BMI, the health experts say, should be in the range of 18-25, overweight is  $>25$  and  $<30$ , while obese is  $>30$ .)

To men, an obese woman is sexually repulsive, a veritable cock shrinker, and not surprisingly, such women rarely get a penis in them, and if they do, they are usually from men with low SMV (sexual marketplace value, as judged by women, i.e. men who

have high SMV are tall, handsome, rich, and gullible to women's sexploitation). Men with very low SMV "will fuck anything, even landwhales."

Monoconscious feminists reason that "I'm not put on this earth to please men sexually! I will do what I want, eat as much as I want, and if I grow fat, then that is my choice, and men can go to hell. If they don't like my weight, that is of no concern of mine. I'm a feminist. I am my own person. I don't need a man's approval to validate myself as a worthy human being. I make my own validation. I create my own standards to judge myself, my self-worth. Also, it was men who dictated to women, that women should be curvy and slim. To hell with that. I say as a fat feminist, that fat is beautiful. I am fat, and so I am beautiful.

These attitudes are expressed in the faces of the women that I observe, coldly, scientifically, rather in the style of a cultural anthropologist, since I have been living outside Australia for half a century.

I grew up in Melbourne, hated it as a young man for its suffocating middle class, mindless, sageism (anti-intellectualism) and simply left the country at a young age, to live in 6 other countries. I only returned to Oz, for its pensions, instead of living in Cambridge, UK, whose citizenship I also have.

I survive the still existing, sport oriented, body oriented, mindless, middle class values of Australian suburbia (Australia,

being one of the most suburbanized countries in the world) by using the internet and attending university seminars on weekdays. That way I construct my own, single, sage (i.e. intellectual) lifestyle, so I can tolerate Australia.

I don't have a TV. I live in a cultural cocoon, rejecting the values of most of the Australian population, i.e. the peakers, the 2/3 of the population with IQs plus or minus one standard deviation from the mean(average), whose values I have such a contempt for, that I exclude them from penetrating my life style.

This 50 year absence from Oz (slang for Australia) gives me an objectivity, that a foreign observer would have.

I'm also a masculist, with a lot of masculist theory under my belt, which, no false modest here, most of it I invented myself, having coined the term, in the early 70s, and given the ism most of its concepts and their labels, with a long glossary of masculist terms in my book on masculism "Masculism, Men's Rebellion Against Being Manslaves to Women."

I look at these monoconscious feminists in the tram and feel they are suffering, due to ignorance, i.e. ignorance of masculism, from not being BIconscious, i.e. not having had both their feminist AND their masculist consciousnesses raised.

Why do I feel this? To answer this question, compare the attitudes of a biconscious feminist and a monoconscious feminist and contrast the level of happiness and contentment of them both, on the whole.

A monoconscious feminist will tend to have the attitudes mentioned above, being suspicious of men, seeing men as morally inferior to women, looking down on men in general, and not feeling motivated to try to please men. As a result of this, she suffers in many ways.

She is after all a woman, and a woman's prime directive is to grow and raise the next generation, which experience shows is what makes women the happiest. There are millions of women today, who have bought into the feminist idea that they should eschew men, pursue careers, and create their own female standards for their own happiness.

Experience shows that the general happiness of women has fallen over the past few decades as millions of women become childless, manless, or who have at most a series of shallow, short term, pump and dump affairs.

These childless women are on the whole not happy with their lives. They don't have men in their lives who love them, mostly because these women are too "feministly" obnoxious, and often too fat. With 40% of the female population being obese, and  $\frac{3}{4}$  being either fat or obese, it is not surprising, that men don't sexually desire most women.

It is no surprise that there is a hugely disproportionate number of feminists, i.e. the most obnoxious types, that the masculists label "misandrist, male dumping, feminazi bitches" who are "triple Fs" i.e. fat, four, feminazis, i.e. obese, 4 out of 10 in looks, i.e.

below average, and real feminazi bitches, whom men avoid like the plague, since they are so obnoxious to be with.

Thus these triple F, monoconscious feminists suffer in several respects. Firstly, physically - they are truly “fucking up their lives”. By being obese, they will shorten their life expectancy by about a decade, research shows. They will have high blood pressure, get diabetes 2 with high probability, and lead a miserable, unhealthy final decade of their lives, ending much more likely of a heart attack or cancer.

Personally, when I see all these obese females in the tram, my attitude is “You stupid dumb fuck. You’re ruining your life, you stupid, ignorant, low class, low IQ, un health-conscious, moron. I have no patience, nor sympathy for you, because you have brought all your considerable health problems on yourself, due to your own stupid ignorance. Go away and die. You are repulsive to a man. You are a true cock shrinker.”

That’s on the health side, the physical side. On the psychological side, these women are rejected by men. The more feminazi bitchy they are, the more they have imbibed feminist doctrine, the more repulsive they are to men, and hence the more rejected they are by men.

If such women want to have a kid, and don’t have a man, being rejected by quality men, i.e. smart, tall, handsome, rich men whom most women would love to mate with, then they will either have to settle with men of much lower SMV, who will

“fuck anything, even feminazi o-beasties!” or try to be single mothers, which research shows they make a poor job of, especially with their sons who are given no male role model.

Children of single mothers are much more likely to have problems, such as teenage pregnancy, drug addiction, smoking, committing crimes, becoming alcoholics, etc. Single mothers are bad news for society.

Single mothers, who simultaneously are career women, and mothers of small children, tend to burn out by the time they are in their 40s, due to the extreme demands of time and energy needed to juggle both these balls in the air.

Most young women are aware of this and hence want to be able to get their financial claws into some gullible manslave who has not yet had his masculist consciousness raised, so can be sexploited by a female, into working for her, so she can have a much more relaxed life, raising kids and only raising kids, while they are small, and returning to work full time after they are off to school. By having a manslave, she can do that. He is paying for her to stay at home raising HER kids. He is her manslave.

These feministed young women, are forced to pretend to be nice to men, in order to trap them into manslavery, so that these young women, have an easier time of it, being mothers.

Once they are married, have their two kids, have their house that the husbands pay for, they allow their true feminazi feelings to surface, and drag their husbands through the feminazi, fluffie

feminist hypocrite dominated divorce court system, to financially butcher and ruin the lives of their ex-husbands, stealing his kids, his house, half his stuff, force child payments on him, and often alimony from him for life.

No wonder these feminazi vermin are hated by men, with growing talk in the men's lib movements, of a sex war, killing them, by shooting them, because they have become indirect genociders, wiping out whole populations, so have to be stopped, if necessary, with bullets, if more benign approaches fail.

Monoconscious feminists, are much more likely to be manless, due to their attitudes towards men. Many very smart feminists, e.g. women with PhDs, and professorships, are particularly feminazi towards men, because, due to their greater intelligence, they can more quickly absorb feminist ideas and proof of male oppression of women by reading many monoconscious feminist books, and hence feel they are objectively justified in despising men.

As a masculist who has read more feminist books than 99% of feminists, I don't deny that men oppress women, both today and especially in the past. But speaking as a masculist, having ones feminist consciousness raised in only half the story.

The other half is to have one's masculist consciousness raised, and thus to realize that women oppress men far more than vice versa, because women's oppression of men is so sustained, so

much deeper, lasting decades, e.g. women's financial parasitism off men, women's manslavery of men, the fluffie feminist hypocrisy in the divorce courts (behaving as feminists in the parliaments, demanding equal rights with men, but rejecting equal obligations with men in bothering to share equally the burden of earning a living, by FIPping up (i.e. becoming FIPs = financially independent persons, by bothering to get a career competent education at high school and college, to become a FIP as an adult, and not expect to parasite off the money and labor of a man), women's red pill nature, i.e. not loving men for themselves, but conditionally, treating men as cash machines, to be sexploited, and if the man loses that ability, women are evolved to quickly, coldly, disloyally, dump him in favor of a more sexploitable male.

Women evolved to be like that, and it makes good Darwinian sense. A woman who remained loyal to a man who failed to bring home hunted meat, risked starvation for herself and her kids. She would be more likely to survive if she dumped her hunter-failed man, and monkey branched to a better, more sexploitable hunter-successful man.

Feminists who study masculist ideas see the world differently. They learn how women oppress men, and their former monoconscious anger at men tends to diminish, as they see that gender oppression is a two way street, that in fact, women oppress men MORE than vice versa.

These biconscious feminists then lose their gender bias, their anger bias, because the two gender angers, i.e. the anger of feminists for the way men oppress women, and the anger of masculists for the way women oppress men, are in opposite directions, and so tend to cancel each other, so that biconscious feminists become much less feminazi bitchy, and hence more likely to be more approachable by men, so are more likely to have boyfriends, and hence perhaps more likely to have babies, but that will not happen in large numbers until these biconscious feminists VOTE with men to menfair the gender laws, e.g. reforming divorce law, bringing in the Parer (paternity rejection right) etc.

Biconscious feminists at then much less misandrist towards men, and hence more open minded about what the masculist scientists say about women's genetic inferiority, e.g. women's 4 IQ point lower average IQ than men's, women's 10% lower IQ variance, so that the morons and the geni are males, women's 10% smaller brains, hence 10 billion fewer neurons, hence women's 10% smaller neural processing capacity, hence women's pathetic 1% of science Nobel prize winners.

Biconscious women are more humble, as a sex, because they are more open to listening to the scientific evidence showing women's genetic inferiority as presented by masculist scientists, who are the most formidable enemy of the monoconscious feminazis, whom the masculist scientists are out to destroy, by intellectually crushing them.

The more these isscienate monoconscious feminazis object to these masculist scientists' assertions, the angrier, and more verbally violent these masculist scientists become towards these feminazi isscienate peasants, utterly crushing them mercilessly, since these masculist scientists have no desire to sex such monoconscious feminazi bitches, so do not have to pretend to be nice to them in order to penis them.

Masculist scientists are the first to reject monoconscious feminazi bitches, who harangue them the hardest, and are very successful at doing this, because they are usually smarter than their feminazi opponents, who are after all women, and hence genetically inferior, certainly at the top end of the performance scale, where men dominate.

Biconscious feminists on the other hand are much more likely to accept their sex's intellectual inferiority, and simply live with it, accepting it as simply a fact of nature, the inevitable consequence of women's million year old sexual preference to mate with men who have superior DNA for her kids.

Men just are taller, bigger, stronger, fiercer, and smarter than women, because women have been sexually selecting such men for a million years.

The other main factor in producing male genetic superiority, is the general biological phenomenon of GMV (greater male variance) due probably to men having only one X chromosome in their cells, which has nearly all of its genes expressed, since

the Y chromosome is tiny, thus men express a greater number of genes in their genomes than women, hence men are more varied, have a greater variance, over a whole population than women.

Hence the geni are male, and hence the patriarchy, that the isscienate feminazis are always harping about and pathetically and hopelessly trying to destroy, is inevitable.

Biconscious feminists have a higher probability of having boyfriends, so are regularly penised, so have regular orgasms, etc., so are happier. They are much less angry, less gender biased, less anger biased, so are more content with life, less stressed, and get on much better with men and with life in general.

I believe it is only a question of time, before the feminist pendulum swings back to a more balanced state in the sex war.

The current financial massacring of divorcing fathers in the fluffie feminist hypocrite dominated divorce court system is causing 2/3 of young men in many western countries to reject marriage, reject paternity, who then spend their money on themselves, i.e. they have gone MGTOW (men going their own way), so are thus wiping out whole populations.

Probably within a decade, if the gender status quo remains unchanged, 90% of men will be rejecting paternity, so it is only a question of time before the gender pendulum swings back, because the world's journalists will pick up the "biggest story of

our historical era, i.e. paternity rejection and its wiping out whole populations.

These journalists will be told by the masculists that these paternity rejecting MGTOWs are merely reacting to the toxicity of the divorce courts taken over by the hated fluffie feminist hypocrites.

These journalists, not being fools, will see the logic of the masculist theorists, and become motivated to present masculist ideas to the public, teaching the public about masculist attitudes and masculist expectations on women to FIP up or be punished by being manless, hence loveless, sexless, babyless and increasingly spat at for being fluffie, parasitic, manslaving, vermin, to be wiped out, by total masculist neglect, forcing such fluffie women to rot on the shelf, wiping out their fluffie parasite genes from the gene pool.

Once there are many biconscious feminists, many of them will see the logic in voting together with men, to menfair the gender laws, otherwise young women will learn a hatred of monoconscious feminazis, that is even stronger than that of the masculists, because these young women will equate their babylessness to the blockage of the menfairing of the gender laws by the monoconscious feminazis, whom these young women will see as the root cause of their babylessness, because quality young men refuse to give them their sperm, because they don't want to be fathers, due to the one chance in four of being

financially crucified in the hated, feminazi, fluffie feminist dominated divorce court system.

A few decades from now, western countries will have had their masculist consciousness raised, women on the whole will have become biconscious, and hence the gender laws will have been menfaired, so that men are much more prepared to be fathers again, avoiding the current situation, where the majority of young men are saying to themselves, today - "With one chance in four of being financially massacred in a fluffie feminist hypocrite dominated divorce court system, there is no way I'm going to fall into that trap, so I'll not marry, and not have kids.

Fuck the feminazi bitches. They can continue to rot in hell, and continue to live their unpenised, miserable lives. They deserve their punishment by men, who see such feminazis as utterly repulsive to men, who of course, avoid them like the plague, spitting at them for their massive gender oppression of men in the divorce courts.

I wonder how long it will take for our western cultures to become biconscious? How many years? A decade? Two decades? It can't be too much longer than that, because, probably within a decade, 90% of young men will be rejecting paternity, and hence most of the population gets wiped out in a mere generation, so society will become very conscious of that population crash and start asking the masculists why men are rejecting paternity in such huge numbers.

The masculists will point the finger at the hated feminazis. The gender politicians will then menfair the gender laws, otherwise we get wiped out.

The swinging back of the gender pendulum, thus has to happen. The only question remaining, is how many years will it take? The answer to that question depends partly on how quickly and how successfully, the masculist spokesmen can attract the interest and motivation of the journalists to spread masculist ideas to the public, an aim that remains one of highest priority to the masculist theorists and strategists.

Cheers,

Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com

<https://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com> (contains links to 300+ YouTube, Minds, BitChute masculist essays/videos) and to the book “MASCULISM, Men’s Rebellion Against Being Manslaves to Women, An e-Textbook of 300+ Masculist Fliers for Men’s Studies Courses”)

=====