

# CONVERTING A CYNICAL MALE FEMINIST JOURNALIST INTO A MASCULIST IN MINUTES

**Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis**

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com

<https://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com>

This flyer imagines an interview I have with a cynical male feminist journalist, in his 30s or 40s, i.e. a generation younger than me, who has grown up with second wave feminism, and knows nothing about masculism, so his initial reaction is the same as that of most women and certainly most feminists, i.e. of incredulity, who think along the following lines - “Masculism, i.e. men’s lib?! What do men have to be liberated from?! Its men who are the privileged sex, who oppress women, so the very term masculism sounds ridiculous!”

This male feminist journalist’s opening question to me reflects his cynicism.

J : “ How do you react towards the claim made by many that you are a misogynist?!

H : “Speaking as a masculist, its true! In fact labeling the hatred that masculists have for fluffie parasites and fluffie feminist hypocrites as misogynist is too wimpy. We masculists have a

real hatred for these categories of women and aim to kill them, i.e. kill them slowly by totally ignoring them, forcing them to rot on the shelf, so that their fluffie bitch genes are removed from the gene pool, because no man will give them their sperm, forcing them to die out.

There needs to be a new word to express the level of hatred that masculists have for fluffie parasites and fluffie feminist hypocrites. Misogynist is too weak, perhaps “femsterminators” or some such.

To understand where this masculist hatred for such women is coming from, one needs to have one’s “masculist consciousness” raised, otherwise most people see gender politics through a monoconscious, gender biased, anger biased, perspective which is blind to the masculist perspective.

Judging by the tone of your opening question, it is obvious to any masculist watching this interview that you are cynical towards masculism, and that perhaps you know very little about the ideas of the masculists.

You are a nationally known journalist, working for national government controlled media, so you are obviously no fool, so only need to be exposed to the more persuasive of the masculists’ arguments for you to be convinced or at least a lot more open to masculist thinking.

You have invited me to talk about masculism, so I suggest I try to kill two birds with one stone, that I think may be very

effective and persuasive for your viewers. I suggest that in the next few minutes, I will try to “convert” you from a cynical male feminist into a masculist, and if I can do that, then probably many of your viewers will be similarly convinced! Are you OK with that suggestion?”

J : “Why not, so go for it, convince me and our viewing public!”

H : “OK, thanks. First question to you – are you married?”

J : “Yes.”

H : “Kids?”

J : “ Yes, 3!”

H : “OK, so you are a married father and as such, in the fluffie feminist controlled divorce court system, you are playing Russian roulette with your life!!

J : “That sounds extreme to me, but go ahead!”

H : “ I don’t mean that you risk your life over being a married father, but you are playing Russian roulette in the following sense, except in one sense it is even worse, i.e. with Russian roulette there are usually 6 chambers in the barrel, but as a married father you will have a “gun” with only 4 chambers, in the sense that you now risk being financially massacred and having your life ruined by the deep misandry that exists in the hated fluffie feminist hypocrite dominated divorce court system.”

J : “Let me interrupt you here for a second. You have used the term fluffie several times already. What does it mean?”

H : “A fluffie is a masculist (masculism is men’s lib, essentially a rebellion against being manslaves to women) label for a traditional woman who expects to be able to parasite off the money of a man. It is based on the word fluff, i.e. having connotations of being light, not serious, not adult, not responsible, not career competent, not FIP, i.e. financially independent person.

Masculists see fluffies as the enemy, as immoral, parasitic, manslaving, vermin, to be wiped out. You can look at the masculists in the 21<sup>st</sup> century as the historical equivalent of the US abolitionists in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. The abolitionists saw negro slavery as a moral abomination, and aimed to wipe it out, which they eventually did, but it took the US civil war to do it. Similarly, masculists see man slavery as a moral abomination, and aim to wipe it out, hopefully without a sex war, but it may come to that, but I’m getting a bit ahead of myself.”

J : “Do you masculists see all women as manslavers?”

H : “Of course not. Many women do make the effort to become career competent and pull their financial weight, expecting to be FIPs and not parasiting off a man, but in reality, at age 16, in many countries,  $\frac{3}{4}$  of young women, choose to become fluffies by choosing to major in what the masculists call fluffie crap subjects, that are the soft option, the intellectually easy,

intellectually lazy, memory based option, e.g. English literature, languages, history, etc, and not the analytical, hard option, where you have to think, e.g. math and the sciences.

These fluffie crappers then are forced to study more fluffie crap at college, because they are excluded from studying STEM (science, tech, engineering, math) and the professions because they do not have the math and science prerequisites from high school. So these fluffie crappers end up with a fluffie crap diploma, that the economy does not value and pays low salaries for.

The average feminist influenced woman then screws around in her 20s and statistics show, that by the time she is in her 30s, she has had on average over 40 different penises in her. Her biological clock starts to tick hard in her 30s, realizing with her fluffie crap diploma, she is too poor to be able to afford her own middle class house to raise her kids in, so she starts looking around for some (in masculist terms) “gullible manslave” so that she can sit on her fat parasitic arse, raising HER kids, in a middle class house, that HE pays for.

A decade later, she has had her 2 kids, and has the house. She gets bored with her 41<sup>st</sup> penis, and takes him to the fluffie feminist dominated divorce court, where he is financially massacred and has his life ruined.”

J : “Let me interrupt you again. Probably you are so accustomed to using these masculist terms, that they are unconscious to you.

Can you explain the masculist meaning of the term fluffie feminist and fluffie feminist hypocrisy? What is hypocritical about being a fluffie feminist? I can guess, but can you spell it out for our viewers.”

H : “You’re right, sorry. The masculists have an even greater hatred for the fluffie feminist hypocrites than for fluffie parasites. Masculists hate fluffies because they parasite off men’s money, manslaving them.

Men liberated women from household drudgery, by giving them the contraceptive pill, household gadgets, higher education, modern medicine, life expectancies well into the 80s, so that even women with their two kids, still have a career window of half a century, so the masculists point the finger at women, with strong moral pressure, saying to them “Now that women CAN work, they MUST work! Anything else is parasitism off men. Masculists have the same level of hatred towards fluffie parasites as the abolitionists had towards the slavers of negroes.

Masculists have an even greater hatred of the fluffie feminists, because it is bad enough that the fluffie feminists are fluffies, and hence immoral parasites, but they are also hypocrites, in the sense that they accept equal rights for women with men in the parliaments, but reject equal obligations with men in the divorce courts, by not bothering to get a career competent education in high school and college, so that they can become real FIPs as adults, in the divorce courts. Thus these fluffie feminist hypocrites want their cake and to eat it too.

After a half century of second wave feminism, and knowing nothing about feminism, these feminists when they divorce, see men as the enemy, as pigs, as exploiters, to be abused, robbed, taught a lesson, to be revenged, so that the divorce courts have been made toxic by them for men, so bad that in many countries now, 2/3 of young men refuse to marry, reject paternity and spend their money on themselves. They are the MGTOW (men going their own way) branch of the men's lib movement.

That makes these fluffie feminists indirect genociders. They have made divorce so toxic for men, that they have made marriage toxic for men. So young men decide that modern marriage sucks, and reject it. Probably within a decade over 90% of young men will be rejecting marriage and paternity, which will be a catastrophe in terms of the population crash. At 90%, whole populations get wiped out in a mere generation.

J : "Why is divorce so toxic for men?"

H : "Good question, because it is immediately appropriate for you, since you face a one in four risk of being, as the MGTOWs say, "divorce raped." In many countries, you will have your kids ripped away from you by feminazi divorce court judges and lawyers with a probability of 90%. You will lose half your stuff to your ex-wife. You will lose your house so that your ex can raise HER kids in it. You will be forced to pay her child support for your kids whom you will barely see. If your wife is a real fluffie you may be forced to pay her alimony for life, with no

moral nor legal obligation on her to get off her fat parasitic arse and FIP up, i.e. become a FIP and pull her own financial weight.

J : (looking alarmed) “My god. I didn’t realize it was so bad. You’re definitely scaring me!”

H : “You need to be scared. With this one in four chance (i.e. half of marriages end in divorce, and in half of those divorces, the ex-wife turns into a vindictive, misandrist, sadist, out to destroy her ex-husband, and since the divorce courts have been taken over by the hated fluffie feminist hypocrites, she can) of being financially massacred and having one’s life destroyed, it is no surprise that young men in their millions now say, “Screw that, I’m not getting married, and am certainly not having any kids.

The risk is too great!” Thus directly, it is these men who are wiping out whole populations, but they are merely reacting to the toxicity of the divorce courts, dictated to by the fluffie feminist hypocrites, who see men as fluffies do, i.e. as sexexploitable cash machines, to be abused, robbed and destroyed.

So from the masculist viewpoint, the root cause of whole populations being wiped out, are the fluffie feminists and their making divorce so toxic for men. Thus, the fluffie feminists, these feminazis, have become genociders, and have to be stopped.

Responsible males, masculist soldiers, will not tolerate that these fluffie feminist children, these irresponsible misandrists,

indirectly wipe out whole populations. They have to be stopped, with bullets if necessary, in a sex war, but there are more benign methods to achieve the menfaring of the divorce courts and other glaring legal discriminations against men, e.g. the lack of a Parer (paternity rejection right).

Women have the Marer (maternity rejection right) but men have no Parer, the greatest form of sexual discrimination that exists, and it is not against women, but against men.

For example, say your wife wants another kid and you tell her no, but she really wants another one and lies to you about taking the pill. She gets pregnant and expects you to pay for your 4<sup>th</sup> kid. You have no say in the matter.

This is an example of why masculists say that women oppress men far worse than vice versa, because women's oppression of men is so sustained, over decades, e.g. women's financial parasitism, women's manslavery, the fluffie feminist hypocrisy in the divorce courts, women's red pill nature, i.e. that women don't love men but love men's sexploitability, a major theme of the MGTOWs.

Interestingly now, young women are learning to hate these fluffie feminist hypocrites as much as men do, because they are becoming painfully aware, time after time, that quality young men refuse to give them their sperm. Young women now are panicking because they are becoming increasingly conscious that they are not going to have babies, and that is, to coin a

phrase, “scaring them shitless.” The masculists are telling these young women to hate the fluffie feminists, the feminazis, because it is they who are the root cause behind the paternity rejection of quality young men, because marriage and paternity are too toxic for men.

So the masculists push young women to vote together with men to menfair the gender laws, e.g. major reform in the divorce courts, by e.g. throwing out alimony, making custody of the kids JOINT by default, allowing the original owner of the house to keep it, etc.

The Parer needs to be legislated. Governments need to set up gender equality committees which are as well versed in masculist ideas as feminist ideas, so that they socially engineer young women to FIP up, by bothering to get a career competent education, by making the study of calculus and a science compulsory in 12<sup>th</sup> grade, as was the case in the old Soviet Union.

Masculists also claim that it is in the self interest of feminists to become biconscious, i.e. having one’s feminist AND one’s masculist consciousness raised, because then the two gender angers, i.e. the feminist anger at how men oppress women, and the masculist anger at how women oppress men, tend to cancel each other out, so that biconscious feminists tend to lose their anger bias, their gender bias, that they had as monoconscious feminists when they thought that gender oppression was a one way street.

Biconscious feminists tend to be far less feminazi bitchy, and hence more approachable by men, so biconscious feminists tend to have boyfriends, whereas misandrist, male dumping, feminazi bitches are universally rejected by men, forced to rot on the shelf.

Young women are really picking up on this hatred for feminazis expressed by men, and don't dare state publicly, in their social circle, that they are feminists, because if they do, then they know that that is the kiss of death for them in terms of getting a man, but they want to get a man, because they know how impossible it is to be both a female careerist and a mother of small children at the same time, so they want to get a man to help them with the finances when she has very small children.

J : “Well, unfortunately, our time is up, which is a pity, because you've made me see things in a whole new light, and I dare say many of our viewers feel the same. Personally, I was unaware how toxic divorce has become for men, and that really worries me, so yes, you have converted me to masculism to some extent, but I think I and millions of our viewers will need to learn more about it. So thankyou Professor de Garis, for presenting to us, the core ideas of masculism. I suspect this will not be the last time that you will be in this studio, teaching us about men's lib, and to the audience, bye for now, and until next time!”

Cheers,

Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com

<https://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com> (contains links to 300+ YouTube, Minds, BitChute masculist essays/videos) and to the book “MASCULISM, Men’s Rebellion Against Being Manslaves to Women, An e-Textbook of 300+ Masculist Fliers for Men’s Studies Courses”)

=====